arXiv:1601.01679v2 [math.GR] 14 Jan 2016

MORE ON REGULAR SUBGROUPS OF THE AFFINE GROUP

M.A. PELLEGRINI AND M.C. TAMBURINI BELLANI

Abstract. This paper is a new contribution to the study of regular subgroups of the affine group $\mathrm{AGL}_n(\mathbb{F})$, for any field $\mathbb{F}$. In particular we associate to any partition $\lambda \neq (1^{n+1})$ of $n+1$ abelian regular subgroups in such a way that different partitions define non-conjugate subgroups. Moreover, we classify the regular subgroups of certain natural types for $n \leq 4$. Our classification is equivalent to the classification of split local algebras of dimension $n+1$ over $\mathbb{F}$. Our methods, based on classical results of linear algebra, are computer free.

1. Introduction

Let $\mathbb{F}$ be any field. We identify the affine group $\mathrm{AGL}_n(\mathbb{F})$ with the subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_{n+1}(\mathbb{F})$ consisting of the matrices having $(1, 0, \ldots, 0)^{\mathrm{T}}$ as first column. With this notation, $\mathrm{AGL}_n(\mathbb{F})$ acts on the right on the set $\mathcal{A} = \{(1, v) : v \in \mathbb{F}^n\}$ of affine points. Clearly, there exists an epimorphism $\pi : \mathrm{AGL}_n(\mathbb{F}) \to \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F})$ induced by the action of $\mathrm{AGL}_n(\mathbb{F})$ on $\mathbb{F}^n$. A subgroup (or a subset) R of $\mathrm{AGL}_n(\mathbb{F})$ is called *regular* if it acts regularly on $\mathcal{A}$, namely if, for every $v \in \mathbb{F}^n$, there exists a unique element in R having $(1, v)$ as first row. Thus R is regular precisely when $\mathrm{AGL}_n(\mathbb{F}) = \widehat{\mathrm{GL}}_n(\mathbb{F})\, R$, with $\widehat{\mathrm{GL}}_n(\mathbb{F}) \cap R = \{I_{n+1}\}$, where $\widehat{\mathrm{GL}}_n(\mathbb{F})$ denotes the stabilizer of $(1, 0, \ldots, 0)$.

A subgroup H of $\mathrm{AGL}_n(\mathbb{F})$ is *indecomposable* if there exists no decomposition of $\mathbb{F}^n$ as a direct sum of non-trivial $\pi(H)$-invariant subspaces. Clearly, to investigate the structure of regular subgroups, the indecomposable ones are the most relevant, since the other ones are direct products of regular subgroups in smaller dimensions. So, one has to expect very many regular subgroups when n is big. Actually, in Section 6 we show how to construct at least one abelian regular subgroup, called *standard*, for each partition $\lambda \neq (1^{n+1})$ of $n+1$, in such a way that different partitions produce non-conjugate subgroups. Several of them are indecomposable.

The structure and the number of conjugacy classes of regular subgroups depend on $\mathbb{F}$. For instance, if $\mathbb{F}$ has characteristic $p > 0$, every regular subgroup is unipotent [14, Theorem 3.2], i.e., all its elements satisfy $(t - I_{n+1})^{n+1} = 0$. A unipotent group is conjugate to a subgroup of the group of upper unitriangular matrices (see [10]): in particular it has a non-trivial center. By contrast to the case $p > 0$, $\mathrm{AGL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ contains $2^{|\mathbb{R}|}$ conjugacy classes of regular subgroups with trivial center, hence not unipotent (see Example 2.5). So, clearly, a classification in full generality is not realistic.

Since the center $Z(R)$ of a regular subgroup R is unipotent (see Theorem 2.4(a)) if $Z(R)$ is non-trivial one may assume, up to conjugation, that R is contained in the centralizer of a unipotent Jordan form (see Theorem 4.4). But even this condition is weak.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 15A21, 20B35, 16L99.

Key words and phrases. Regular subgroup; local algebra; Jordan canonical form.

Before introducing a stronger hypothesis, which allows to treat significant cases, we need some notation. We write every element r of R as

$$r = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & v \\ 0 & \pi(r) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & v \\ 0 & \tau_R(v) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & v \\ 0 & I_n + \delta_R(v) \end{pmatrix} = \mu_R(v), \tag{1}$$

where $\mu_R : \mathbb{F}^n \to \mathrm{AGL}_n(\mathbb{F})$, $\tau_R : \mathbb{F}^n \to \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F})$ and $\delta_R := \tau_R - \mathrm{id} : \mathbb{F}^n \to \mathrm{Mat}_n(\mathbb{F})$.

The hypothesis we introduce is that δ_R is linear. First of all, if R is abelian, then δ_R is linear (see [1]). Moreover, if δ_R is linear, then R is unipotent by Theorem 2.4(b), but not necessarily abelian. One further motivation for this hypothesis is that δ_R is linear if and only if $\mathcal{L} = \mathbb{F}I_{n+1} + R$ is a split local subalgebra of $\mathrm{Mat}_{n+1}(\mathbb{F})$. Moreover, two regular subgroups R_1 and R_2, with δ_{R_i} linear, are conjugate in $\mathrm{AGL}_n(\mathbb{F})$ if and only if the corresponding algebras $\mathcal{L}_1$ and $\mathcal{L}_2$ are isomorphic (see Section 3). In particular, there is a bijection between conjugacy classes of abelian regular subgroups of $\mathrm{AGL}_n(\mathbb{F})$ and isomorphism classes of abelian split local algebras of dimension $n+1$ over $\mathbb{F}$. This fact was first observed in [1]. It was studied also in connection with other algebraic structures in [3, 4, 5, 6] and in [2], where the classification of nilpotent associative algebras given in [7] is relevant.

In Section 7 we classify, up to conjugation, certain types of regular subgroups U of $\mathrm{AGL}_n(\mathbb{F})$, for $n \leq 4$, and the corresponding algebras. More precisely, for $n = 1$ the only regular subgroup is the translation subgroup $\mathcal{T}$, which is standard. For $n = 2, 3$, we assume that δ_U is linear. If $n = 2$ all subgroups U, over any $\mathbb{F}$, are standard (Table 1). For $n = 3$ the abelian regular subgroups are described in Table 2. The non abelian ones are determined in Lemmas 7.2 and 7.3: there are $|\mathbb{F}|$ conjugacy classes when $\mathrm{char}\,\mathbb{F} = 2$, $|\mathbb{F}| + 1$ otherwise (see also [7]). If $n = 4$ we assume that U is abelian. The conjugacy classes, when $\mathbb{F}$ has no quadratic extensions, are shown in Tables 3 and 4.

In particular, by the reasons mentioned above, we obtain an independent classification of the split local algebras of dimension $n \leq 4$ over any field. We obtain also the classification of the commutative split local algebras of dimension 5 over fields with no quadratic extensions. Actually, regular subgroups arise from matrix representations of these algebras. In the abelian case and using the hypothesis that $\mathbb{F}$ is algebraically closed, the same classification, for $n \leq 6$, was obtained by Poonen [12], via commutative algebra. Namely he presents the algebras as quotients of the polynomial ring $\mathbb{F}[t_1, \ldots, t_r]$, $r \geq 1$. The two approaches are equivalent but, comparing our results with those of Poonen, we detected an inaccuracy[1]. Namely for $n = 5$ and $\mathrm{char}\,\mathbb{F} = 2$, the two algebras defined, respectively, by $\mathbb{F}[x, y, z]/\langle x^2, y^2, xz, yz, xy + z^2\rangle$ and $\mathbb{F}[x, y, z]/\langle xy, xz, yz, x^2 + y^2, x^2 + z^2\rangle$ are isomorphic (see Remark 7.6).

Our methods, based on linear algebra, are computer independent.

2. Some basic properties and examples of regular subgroups

Lemma 2.1. *A regular submonoid R of $\mathrm{AGL}_n(\mathbb{F})$ is a subgroup.*

Proof. For any $v \in \mathbb{F}^n$, the first row of $\mu_R\left(-v\tau_R(v)^{-1}\right)\mu_R(v)$ is the same as the first row of I_{n+1}. From the regularity of R it follows $\mu_R\left(-v\tau_R(v)^{-1}\right)\mu_R(v) = I_{n+1}$, whence $\mu_R(v)^{-1} = \mu_R\left(-v\tau_R(v)^{-1}\right) \in R$. Thus R is a subgroup. □

[1] See [13] for a revised version of [12].

If $\delta_R \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{F}^n, \mathrm{Mat}_n(\mathbb{F}))$, i.e. δ_R is additive, direct calculation gives that a regular subset R of $\mathrm{AGL}_n(\mathbb{F})$ containing I_{n+1} is a submonoid, hence a subgroup, if and only if:

$$\delta_R(v\delta_R(w)) = \delta_R(v)\delta_R(w), \qquad \text{for all } v, w \in \mathbb{F}^n. \tag{2}$$

Also, given $v, w \in \mathbb{F}^n$,

$$\mu_R(v)\mu_R(w) = \mu_R(w)\mu_R(v) \quad \text{ if and only if } \quad v\delta_R(w) = w\delta_R(v). \tag{3}$$

For the next two theorems we need a basic result, that we recall below for the reader's convenience. A proof can be found in any text of linear algebra.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $g \in \mathrm{GL}_m(\mathbb{F})$ have characteristic polynomial $\chi_g(t) = f_1(t)f_2(t)$. If $(f_1(t), f_2(t)) = 1$, then g is conjugate to $h = \mathrm{diag}(h_1, h_2)$, with $\chi_{h_i}(t) = f_i(t)$, $i = 1, 2$. Also, $\mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{Mat}_m(\mathbb{F})}(h)$ consists of matrices of the same block-diagonal form.*

Lemma 2.3. *Let z be an element of a regular subgroup R of $\mathrm{AGL}_n(\mathbb{F})$. If z is not unipotent then, up to conjugation of R under $\mathrm{AGL}_n(\mathbb{F})$, we may suppose that*

$$z = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & w_1 & 0 \\ 0 & A_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & A_2 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{4}$$

where A_1 is unipotent and A_2 does not have the eigenvalue 1.

Proof. Let $z = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & w \\ 0 & z_0 \end{pmatrix}$, where $z_0 = I_n + \delta_R(w)$. Since z_0 has the eigenvalue 1 [14, Lemma 2.2], the characteristic polynomial $\chi_{z_0}(t)$ of z_0 factorizes in $\mathbb{F}[t]$ as

$$\chi_{z_0}(t) = (t-1)^{m_1} g(t), \quad g(1) \neq 0, \quad m_1 \geq 1, \quad \deg g(t) = m_2 \geq 1.$$

By Lemma 2.2, up to conjugation in $\widehat{\mathrm{GL}}_n(\mathbb{F})$, we may set $z_0 = \mathrm{diag}(A_1, A_2)$ with:

$$\chi_{A_1}(t) = (t-1)^{m_1}, \quad \chi_{A_2}(t) = g(t).$$

Write $w = (w_1, w_2)$ with $w_1 \in \mathbb{F}^{m_1}$ and $w_2 \in \mathbb{F}^{m_2}$. Since A_2 does not have the eigenvalue 1, the matrix $A_2 - I_{m_2}$ is invertible. Conjugating by the translation $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & w_2(A_2 - I_{m_2})^{-1} \\ 0 & I_{m_1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & I_{m_2} \end{pmatrix}$ we may assume $w_2 = 0$, i.e. $z = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & w_1 & 0 \\ 0 & A_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & A_2 \end{pmatrix}$. □

We observe that if $\delta_R \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}}(\mathbb{F}^n, \mathrm{Mat}_n(\mathbb{F}))$, i.e. δ_R is linear, then there is a natural embedding of R into a regular subgroup $\widehat{R}$ of $\mathrm{AGL}_n(\widehat{\mathbb{F}})$ for any extension $\widehat{\mathbb{F}}$ of $\mathbb{F}$. Namely:

$$\widehat{R} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \hat{v} \\ 0 & I_n + \delta_{\widehat{R}}(\hat{v}) \end{pmatrix} : \hat{v} \in \mathbb{F}^n \otimes_{\mathbb{F}} \widehat{\mathbb{F}} \right\} \leq \mathrm{AGL}_n(\widehat{\mathbb{F}}),$$

where $\delta_{\widehat{R}}\left(\sum_i \hat{\lambda} v_i\right) = \sum_i \hat{\lambda}_i \delta_R(v_i)$, $\hat{\lambda}_i \in \widehat{\mathbb{F}}$. Clearly $\delta_{\widehat{R}}$ is linear.

We obtain the following consequences.

Theorem 2.4. *Let R be regular subgroup of $\mathrm{AGL}_n(\mathbb{F})$. Then the following holds:*

(a) *the center $\mathbf{Z}(R)$ of R is unipotent;*
(b) *if δ_R is linear, then R is unipotent.*

Proof. (a) Our claim is clear if $\mathbf{Z}(R) = \{1\}$. So let $1 \neq z \in \mathbf{Z}(R)$ and assume, by contradiction, that z is not unipotent. By Lemma 2.3 up to conjugation z has shape (4). Lemma 2.2 gives the contradiction that its centralizer is not transitive on the affine vectors.
(b) Let $\widehat{\mathbb{F}}$ be the algebraic closure of $\mathbb{F}$. By what observed above, substituting R with $\widehat{R}$, if necessary, we may assume $\mathbb{F}$ algebraically closed. By contradiction, suppose that $z \in R$ is not unipotent. Up to conjugation we may suppose z as in the statement of Lemma 2.3. In the same notation, $\delta_R(w_1, 0) = \mathrm{diag}(A_1 - I_{m_1}, A_2 - I_{m_2})$. Let $\xi \in \mathbb{F}$ be an eigenvalue of $A_2 - I_{m_2}$ and $0 \neq v \in \mathbb{F}^{m_2}$ be a corresponding eigenvector. We have $\xi \neq 0$ and, by linearity, $\delta_R(-\xi^{-1}w_1, 0) = \mathrm{diag}\left(-\xi^{-1}(A_1 - I_{m_1}), -\xi^{-1}(A_2 - I_{m_2})\right)$. It follows that the first row of the product $\mu_R(0, v)\mu_R(-\xi^{-1}w_1, 0)$ is equal to the first row of the second factor $\mu_R(-\xi^{-1}w_1, 0)$. From the regularity of R we get $\mu_R(0, v) = I_{n+1}$. In particular $v = 0$, a contradiction. We conclude that R is unipotent. □

The following examples show how the linearity of δ_R seems to be necessary to manage a classification of the regular subgroups of $\mathrm{AGL}_n(\mathbb{F})$, even when unipotent.

Example 2.5. Let $\mathcal{B}$ be a basis of $\mathbb{R}$ over $\mathbb{Q}$. For every subset S of $\mathcal{B}$ denote by $f_S : \mathcal{B} \to \mathbb{R}$ the function such that $f_S(v) = v$ if $v \in S$, $f_S(v) = 0$ otherwise. Let $\widehat{f_S}$ be its extension by linearity to $\mathbb{R}$. In particular $\widehat{f_S} \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ and $\mathrm{Im}\,(\widehat{f_S}) = \mathrm{Span}_{\mathbb{R}}(S)$, the subspace generated by S.

Consider the regular subgroup R_S of the affine group $\mathrm{AGL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ defined by:

$$R_S = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x & y \\ 0 & e^{\widehat{f_S}(y)} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} : x, y \in \mathbb{R} \right\}.$$

The set of eigenvalues of the matrices in R_S is $\{e^r : r \in \mathrm{Span}_{\mathbb{R}}(S)\}$. It follows that $S_1 \neq S_2$ gives R_{S_1} not conjugate to R_{S_2} under $\mathrm{GL}_3(\mathbb{R})$. A fortiori R_{S_1} and R_{S_2} are not conjugate under $\mathrm{AGL}_2(\mathbb{R})$. Thus in $\mathrm{AGL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ there are as many non conjugate regular subgroups as possible, namely $2^{|\mathbb{R}|}$.

Example 2.6. Let $\mathbb{F} \neq \mathbb{F}_p$ be a field of characteristic $p > 0$. Then the set

$$R = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_1 & x_2 \\ 0 & 1 & x_1^p \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} : x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{F} \right\}$$

is a non abelian unipotent regular subgroup of $\mathrm{AGL}_2(\mathbb{F})$ such that δ_R is not linear.

Example 2.7 (Hegedűs, [8]). Let $n \geq 4$ and $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{F}_p$ (p odd). Take the matrices $A = \mathrm{diag}(A_3, I_{n-4})$ and $J = \mathrm{diag}(J_3, I_{n-4})$ of $\mathrm{GL}_{n-1}(\mathbb{F}_p)$, where $A_3 = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 2 & -2 \\ 0 & 1 & -2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ and $J_3 = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$. Then A has order p, its minimum polynomial has degree 3 and $AJA^{\mathrm{T}} = J$. The subset R of order p^4, defined by

$$R = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & v & h + \frac{vJv^{\mathrm{T}}}{2} \\ 0 & A^h & A^h Jv^{\mathrm{T}} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} : v \in {\mathbb{F}_p}^{n-1}, h \in \mathbb{F}_p \right\},$$

is a regular submonoid of $\mathrm{AGL}_n(\mathbb{F}_p)$, hence a regular subgroup. Moreover $R \cap \mathcal{T} = \{1\}$. Note that δ_R is not linear: suppose the contrary and observe that the elements

$\mu_R(v_n)$ and $\mu_R(2v_n)$ correspond to $h = 1$ and $h = 2$ respectively ($v_n = (0, \dots, 0, 1)$). Thus, in order that $\delta_R(2v_n) = 2\delta_R(v_n)$ we should have $A^2 - I_{n-1} = 2A - 2I_{n-1}$, i.e. $(A - I_{n-1})^2 = I_{n-1}$, against our choice of A.

3. Algebras and regular subgroups

In this section we highlight the connections between regular subgroups and finite dimensional split local algebras over a field $\mathbb{F}$. To this purpose we recall that an $\mathbb{F}$-algebra $\mathcal{L}$ with 1 is called *split local* if $\mathcal{L}/\mathbf{J}(\mathcal{L})$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{F}$, where $\mathbf{J}(\mathcal{L})$ denotes the Jacobson radical of $\mathcal{L}$. In particular $\mathcal{L} = \mathbb{F}\,1 + \mathbf{J}(\mathcal{L})$, where the set $\mathbb{F}\,1 = \{\alpha 1_{\mathcal{L}} : \alpha \in \mathbb{F}\}$ is a subring of $\mathcal{L}$ contained in its centre $\mathbf{Z}(\mathcal{L})$. Note that $\mathcal{L} \setminus \mathbf{J}(\mathcal{L})$ is the set $\mathcal{L}^*$ of the invertible elements of $\mathcal{L}$. If $\mathbf{J}(\mathcal{L})$, viewed as an $\mathbb{F}$-module, has finite dimension, we say that $\mathcal{L}$ is *finite dimensional*.

If ψ is an isomorphism between two local split $\mathbb{F}$-algebras $\mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2$, then $\psi(\mathbf{J}(\mathcal{L}_1)) = \mathbf{J}(\mathcal{L}_2)$ and $\psi(\alpha\, 1_{\mathcal{L}_1}) = \alpha 1_{\mathcal{L}_2}$ for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}$.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $\mathcal{L}$ be a finite dimensional split local $\mathbb{F}$-algebra. In the above notation, set $n = \dim_{\mathbb{F}}(\mathbf{J}(\mathcal{L}))$. Then, with respect to the product in $\mathcal{L}$, the subset*

$$R = 1 + \mathbf{J}(\mathcal{L}) = \{1 + v : v \in \mathbf{J}(\mathcal{L})\}$$

is a group, isomorphic to a regular subgroup of $\mathrm{AGL}_n(\mathbb{F})$ for which δ_R is linear.

Proof. Clearly R is closed under multiplication. Moreover any $r \in R$ has an inverse in $\mathcal{L}$. From $r - 1 \in \mathbf{J}(\mathcal{L})$ we get $r^{-1} - 1 \in \mathbf{J}(\mathcal{L})$, whence $r^{-1} \in R$. We conclude that R is a group. Consider the map $R \to \mathrm{AGL}_n(\mathbb{F})$ such that, for all $v \in \mathbf{J}(\mathcal{L})$:

$$1 + v \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 1 & v_{\mathcal{B}} \\ 0 & I_n + \delta_R(v) \end{pmatrix}, \tag{5}$$

where $v_{\mathcal{B}}$ and $\delta_R(v)$ are, respectively, the coordinate vector of v and the matrix of the right multiplication by v with respect to a fixed basis $\mathcal{B}$ of $\mathbf{J}(\mathcal{L})$, viewed as $\mathbb{F}$-module. In particular, considering the right multiplication by w:

$$(vw)_{\mathcal{B}} = v_{\mathcal{B}}\delta_R(w), \qquad \text{for all } v, w \in \mathbf{J}(\mathcal{L}). \tag{6}$$

The map (5) is injective and we claim that it is a group monomorphism.

Set $\delta = \delta_R$ for simplicity. For all $v, w \in \mathbf{J}(\mathcal{L})$ we have $\delta(vw) = \delta(v)\delta(w)$ by the associativity law and $\delta(v + w) = \delta(v) + \delta(w)$ by the distributive laws. Now:

$$(1 + v)(1 + w) = 1 + v + w + vw \;\mapsto\; \begin{pmatrix} 1 & v_{\mathcal{B}} + w_{\mathcal{B}} + (vw)_{\mathcal{B}} \\ 0 & I_n + \delta(v) + \delta(w) + \delta(vw) \end{pmatrix}.$$

On the other hand, considering the images of $1 + v$ and $1 + w$:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & v_{\mathcal{B}} \\ 0 & I_n + \delta(v) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & w_{\mathcal{B}} \\ 0 & I_n + \delta(w) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & w_{\mathcal{B}} + v_{\mathcal{B}} + v_{\mathcal{B}}\delta(w) \\ 0 & I_n + \delta(v) + \delta(w) + \delta(v)\delta(w) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus (5) is a homomorphism if and only if (6) holds. This proves our claim. □

Notice that Theorem 3.1 shows how to construct a regular subgroup starting from the presentation of a split local algebra.

Example 3.2. As in [12], consider the split local algebra

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{\mathbb{F}[t_1, t_2, t_3]}{\langle {t_1}^2 + {t_2}^2, {t_1}^2 + {t_3}^2, t_1t_2, t_1t_3, t_2t_3 \rangle}.$$

In this case $\mathbf{J}(\mathcal{L}) \cong \mathbb{F}^4$ has a basis given by $\{t_1, {t_1}^2, t_2, t_3\}$ and considering the right multiplication by an element of this basis we get $\delta(t_1) = E_{1,2}$, $\delta({t_1}^2) = 0$, $\delta(t_2) = -E_{3,2}$, $\delta(t_3) = -E_{4,2}$, and so the associated regular subgroup is

$$R = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_1 & x_2 & x_3 & x_4 \\ 0 & 1 & x_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -x_3 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -x_4 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} : x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 \in \mathbb{F} \right\}.$$

Conversely we have the following result.

Theorem 3.3. *Let $R = \mu_R(\mathbb{F}^n)$ be a regular subgroup of $\mathrm{AGL}_n(\mathbb{F})$. Set*

$$V = R - I_{n+1} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & v \\ 0 & \delta_R(v) \end{pmatrix} \mid v \in \mathbb{F}^n \right\} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{L}_R = \mathbb{F}I_{n+1} + V.$$

(a) *If δ_R is additive, then V is a subring (without identity) of $\mathrm{Mat}_{n+1}(\mathbb{F})$;*
(b) *the function δ_R is linear if and only if $\mathcal{L}_R$ is a split local subalgebra of $\mathrm{Mat}_{n+1}(\mathbb{F})$ with $\mathbf{J}(\mathcal{L}_R) = V$.*

Proof. Set $\mu = \mu_R$, $\delta = \delta_R$, $I = I_{n+1}$ and $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_R$.
(a) Since δ is additive, V is an additive subgroup. From $(\mu(v) - I)(\mu(w) - I) = (\mu(v)\mu(w) - I) - (\mu(v) - I) - (\mu(w) - I)$ it follows that V is a subring.

(b) Suppose first that δ is linear. Using (a) we have that $\mathcal{L}$ is an additive subgroup. By the linearity, $(\mathbb{F}I)V = V(\mathbb{F}I) = V$. It follows that $\mathcal{L}\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}$, hence $\mathcal{L}$ is a subalgebra of $\mathrm{Mat}_{n+1}(\mathbb{F})$. Again linearity gives $\alpha I + (\mu(\alpha v) - I) = \alpha\mu(v)$ for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}$, $v \in \mathbb{F}^n$. Thus $\mathcal{L} \setminus V = \mathbb{F}^* R$ consists of elements with inverse in $\mathcal{L}$. We conclude that $V = \mathbf{J}(\mathcal{L})$ and $\mathcal{L}$ is a split local $\mathbb{F}$-algebra.

Vice-versa, let $\mathcal{L}$ be a split local subalgebra with $\mathbf{J}(\mathcal{L}) = V$. In particular V is an additive subgroup, whence $\delta(v + w) = \delta(v) + \delta(w)$ for all $v, w \in \mathbb{F}^n$. Since V is an ideal we get $\delta(\alpha v) = \alpha\delta(v)$ for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}$, $v \in \mathbb{F}^n$. □

Our classification of the regular subgroups of $\mathrm{AGL}_n(\mathbb{F})$ is based on the following proposition (see [1, Theorem 1]), where $\widehat{\mathrm{GL}}_n(\mathbb{F})$ is defined as in the Introduction.

Proposition 3.4. *Assume that R_1, R_2 are regular subgroups of $\mathrm{AGL}_n(\mathbb{F})$ such that δ_{R_1} and δ_{R_2} are linear maps. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

(a) *R_1 and R_2 are conjugate in $\widehat{\mathrm{GL}}_n(\mathbb{F})$;*
(b) *R_1 and R_2 are conjugate in $\mathrm{AGL}_n(\mathbb{F})$;*
(c) *the algebras $\mathcal{L}_{R_1}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{R_2}$ are isomorphic.*

Proof. Set $\delta_1 = \delta_{R_1}$, $\mathcal{L}_1 = \mathcal{L}_{R_1}$, $\delta_2 = \delta_{R_2}$, $\mathcal{L}_2 = \mathcal{L}_{R_2}$, $\mu_1 = \mu_{R_1}$ and $I = I_{n+1}$.
$(a) \Longrightarrow (b) \Longrightarrow (c)$ is clear. Let us prove $(c) \Longrightarrow (a)$. By Theorem 3.3, $\mathcal{L}_1$ and $\mathcal{L}_2$ are split local algebras with Jacobson radicals $R_1 - I$ and $R_2 - I$, respectively. Suppose that $\psi : \mathcal{L}_1 \to \mathcal{L}_2$ is an algebra isomorphism. In particular $\psi(R_1 - I) = R_2 - I$ and ψ induces an $\mathbb{F}$-automorphism of $\mathbb{F}^n$. Let $P \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F})$ be the matrix of this automorphism with respect to the canonical basis of $\mathbb{F}^n$. Then

$$\psi \begin{pmatrix} 0 & v \\ 0 & \delta_1(v) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & vP \\ 0 & \delta_2(vP) \end{pmatrix}.$$

For all $v, w \in \mathbb{F}^n$ we have $\psi(\mu_1(w)\mu_1(v)) = \psi(\mu_1(w))\psi(\mu_1(v))$. This implies $\delta_1(v)P = P\delta_2(vP)$, whence $\delta_2(vP) = P^{-1}\delta_1(v)P$ for all $v \in \mathbb{F}^n$. We conclude:

$$\psi\begin{pmatrix} 0 & v \\ 0 & \delta_1(v) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & vP \\ 0 & \delta_2(vP) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & P \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & v \\ 0 & \delta_1(v) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & P \end{pmatrix}.$$

□

4. Centralizers of unipotent elements

Our classification of unipotent regular subgroups of $\mathrm{AGL}_n(\mathbb{F})$ is connected to the classical theory of canonical forms of matrices. For the reader's convenience we recall the relevant facts. For all $m \geq 2$, the conjugacy classes of unipotent elements in $\mathrm{GL}_m(\mathbb{F})$ are parametrized by the Jordan canonical forms

$$J = \mathrm{diag}(J_{m_1}, \ldots, J_{m_k}), \quad m = \sum m_i, \tag{7}$$

where each J_{m_i} is a Jordan block of size m_i, namely a matrix having all 1's on the main diagonal and the diagonal above it, and 0's elsewhere. A Jordan block J_m has minimal polynomial $(t-1)^m$ and its centralizer is the m-dimensional algebra

$$T_{m,m}(\mathbb{F}) := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} x_0 & x_1 & \ldots & x_{m-2} & x_{m-1} \\ 0 & x_0 & \ldots & x_{m-3} & x_{m-2} \\ & & \ddots & & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \ldots & x_0 & x_1 \\ 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & x_0 \end{pmatrix} : x_i \in \mathbb{F} \right\} \tag{8}$$

generated by J_m. To study the centralizer of J in (7) we write $c \in \mathrm{Mat}_m(\mathbb{F})$ as:

$$c = \begin{pmatrix} C_{1,1} & \ldots & C_{1,k} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ C_{k,1} & \ldots & C_{k,k} \end{pmatrix}, \ C_{i,j} \in \mathrm{Mat}_{m_i,m_j}(\mathbb{F}). \tag{9}$$

Clearly c centralizes J if and only if

$$J_{m_i} C_{i,j} = C_{i,j} J_{m_j}, \quad \text{for all } i, j. \tag{10}$$

Lemma 4.1. *Take J as in (7) and assume further that $m_1 \geq \cdots \geq m_k$. Then the element c above centralizes J if and only if*

$$C_{i,j} \in T_{m_i,m_j}(\mathbb{F}), \quad \textit{for all } i, j,$$

where each $T_{m_i,m_i}(\mathbb{F})$ is defined as in (8) with $m = m_i$ and, for $m_i > m_j$:

$$T_{m_i,m_j}(\mathbb{F}) := \begin{pmatrix} T_{m_j,m_j}(\mathbb{F}) \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad T_{m_j,m_i}(\mathbb{F}) := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \ldots & 0 & T_{m_j,m_j}(\mathbb{F}) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Lemma 4.2. *Take J as in (7). If $m_1 < m_i$ for some $i \geq 2$, then the group $\mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{Mat}_m(\mathbb{F})}(J) \cap \mathrm{AGL}_{m-1}(\mathbb{F})$ is not transitive on affine row vectors $(1, x_1, \ldots, x_{m-1})$.*

Proof. Consider $c \in \mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{Mat}_m(\mathbb{F})}(J)$ and decompose it as in (9). Application of (10) and elementary matrix calculation give that, whenever $m_1 < m_i$, the first row of the matrix $C_{1,i}$ must be the zero vector. Our claim follows immediately. □

Lemma 4.3. *Let J be as in (7). If $k > 1$, assume further that $m_1 \geq m_i$ for all $i \geq 2$. Then, for every $v \in \mathbb{F}^m$, there exists $c \in \mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{Mat}_m(\mathbb{F})}(J)$ having v as first row. If the first coordinate of v is non zero, such c can be chosen nonsingular.*

Proof. Both claims are direct consequences of the description of $T_{m,m}(\mathbb{F})$ preceding (8) and Lemma 4.1. □

Theorem 4.4. *Let R be a regular subgroup of $\mathrm{AGL}_n(\mathbb{F})$ and $1 \neq z$ be an element of the center $\mathbf{Z}(R)$ of R. Then, up to conjugation of R under $\mathrm{AGL}_n(\mathbb{F})$, we may suppose that $z = J_z$, where $J_z = \mathrm{diag}\,(J_{n_1}, \ldots, J_{n_k})$ is the Jordan form of z having Jordan blocks of respective sizes $n_i \geq n_{i+1}$ for all $i \geq 1$.*

Proof. Let t be the number of non-trivial invariant factors of z.

Case $t = 1$, i.e. $J_z = J_{n+1}$. Let $g \in \mathrm{GL}_{n+1}(\mathbb{F})$ be such that $g^{-1}zg = J_z$. Since $\langle e_0^{\mathrm{T}} \rangle$ is the eigenspace of J_z (acting on the left) we have that $\langle ge_0^{\mathrm{T}} \rangle$ must be the eigenspace of z (acting on the left). From $z \in \mathrm{AGL}_n(\mathbb{F})$ it follows $ze_0^{\mathrm{T}} = e_0^{\mathrm{T}}$, hence $ge_0^{\mathrm{T}} = \lambda e_0^{\mathrm{T}}$. We conclude that $\lambda^{-1}g \in \mathrm{AGL}_n(\mathbb{F})$ conjugates z to J_z.

Case $t > 1$. By the unipotency of z, there exists $g \in \widehat{\mathrm{GL}}_n(\mathbb{F})$ that conjugates z to

$$z' = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & w_1 & \ldots & w_h \\ 0 & J_{m_1} & & \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \\ 0 & 0 & \ldots & J_{m_h} \end{pmatrix}.$$

We claim that the first coordinate of $w_i \in \mathbb{F}^{n_i}$ cannot be 0 for all $i \geq 1$. Indeed, in this case, there exists $u_i \in \mathbb{F}^{n_i}$ such that $w_i = u_i\,(I_{n_i} - J_{n_i})$ for all $i \geq 1$. Setting $u = (u_1, \ldots, u_h)$ we have

$$z'' := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & u \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix} z' \begin{pmatrix} 1 & u \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & J \end{pmatrix}, \quad J = \mathrm{diag}\,(J_{m_1}, \ldots, J_{m_h})\,.$$

It follows that $\mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{AGL}_n(\mathbb{F})}(z'')$ is not transitive on the affine vectors by Lemma 4.2, noting that $J \neq I_n$: a contradiction. So there exists some t, $1 \leq t \leq h$, such that the first coordinate of w_t is non zero. Up to conjugation by an obvious permutation matrix in $\widehat{\mathrm{GL}}_n(\mathbb{F})$ we may assume $t = 1$, i.e., $w_t = w_1$.

By Lemma 4.3 there exists $p \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F})$ which centralizes $\mathrm{diag}\,(J_{m_1}, \ldots, J_{m_h})$ and has $v = (w_1, \ldots, w_h)$ as first row. It follows that $vp^{-1} = (1, 0, \ldots, 0)$. Thus

$$z''' = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & p \end{pmatrix} z' \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & p^{-1} \end{pmatrix} = \mathrm{diag}(J_{1+m_1}, J_{m_2}, \ldots, J_{m_h}).$$

Again by Lemma 4.2 we must have $m_1 + 1 \geq m_i$ for all $i \geq 2$. A final conjugation, if necessary, by a permutation matrix in $\widehat{\mathrm{GL}}_n(\mathbb{F})$ allows to arrange the blocks of z''' in non-increasing sizes, i.e. allows to conjugate z''' to J_z as in the statement. □

5. Some useful parameters

We introduce some parameters that will be used mainly to exclude conjugacy among regular subgroups. Let H be any unipotent subgroup of $G_n := \mathrm{AGL}_n(\mathbb{F})$. For each $h - I_{n+1} \in H - I_{n+1}$ we may consider the degree of its minimal polynomial over $\mathbb{F}$, denoted by $\deg \min_{\mathbb{F}}(h - I_{n+1})$, and its rank, denoted by $\mathrm{rk}(h - I_{n+1})$. E.g., $\deg \min_{\mathbb{F}}(h - I_{n+1}) = n+1$ if and only if h is conjugate to a unipotent Jordan block J_{n+1} of size $n+1$. Note that $\mathrm{rk}(J_{n+1} - I_{n+1}) = n$.

Hence, we may set:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} d(H) & = & \max\{\deg \min_{\mathbb{F}}(h - I_{n+1}) \mid h \in H\}; \\ r(H) & = & \max\{\mathrm{rk}(h - I_{n+1}) \mid h \in H\}; \\ k(H) & = & \dim_{\mathbb{F}}\{w \in \mathbb{F}^n : w\pi(h) = w\}. \end{array}$$

If H is a subgroup of a regular subgroup U such that δ_U is linear, then $k(H) = \dim_{\mathbb{F}} \mathrm{Ker}\,(\delta_U|_H)$.

Clearly if two unipotent subgroups H_1, H_2 are conjugate, then:

$$d(H_1) = d(H_2), \quad r(H_1) = r(H_2), \quad k(H_1) = k(H_2),$$
$$d(\mathbf{Z}(H_1)) = d(\mathbf{Z}(H_2)), \quad r(\mathbf{Z}(H_1)) = r(\mathbf{Z}(H_2)), \quad k(\mathbf{Z}(H_1)) = k(\mathbf{Z}(H_2)).$$

Lemma 5.1. *Let H be a unipotent subgroup of $\mathrm{AGL}_n(\mathbb{F})$ and assume that $\mathbb{F}^n$ is the direct sum of non-trivial $\pi(H)$-invariant subspaces $V_1, \ldots, V_s$. Then $k(H) \geq s$. In particular, if $k(H) = 1$, then H is indecomposable.*

Proof. H induces on each V_i a unipotent group H_i. So, in each V_i, there exists a non-zero vector w_i fixed by all elements of H_i (see [10, Theorem 17.5 page 112]). It follows that $w_1, \ldots, w_s$ are s linearly independent vectors of $\mathbb{F}^n$ fixed by $\pi(H)$. □

Notation. For sake of brevity, we write

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_1 & x_2 & \ldots & x_n \\ 0 & \tau_U(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n) \end{pmatrix} \tag{11}$$

to indicate the regular subgroup

$$U = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_1 & x_2 & \ldots & x_n \\ 0 & \tau_U(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n) \end{pmatrix} : x_1, \ldots, x_n \in \mathbb{F} \right\}.$$

For all $i \leq n$, we denote by X_i the matrix of $U - I_{n+1}$ obtained taking $x_i = 1$ and $x_j = 0$ for all $j \neq i$.
The set $\{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$ is the canonical basis of $\mathbb{F}^n$.

We recall that the center of a unipotent group is non-trivial.

Lemma 5.2. *Let U be a unipotent regular subgroup of G_n. If $d(\mathbf{Z}(U)) = n + 1$ then, up to conjugation, $U = \mathbf{C}_{G_n}(J_{n+1})$. Moreover U is abelian.*

Proof. Up to conjugation $J_{n+1} \in \mathbf{Z}(U)$, whence $U \leq \mathbf{C}_{G_n}(J_{n+1})$. Since this group is regular, we have $U = \mathbf{C}_{G_n}(J_{n+1})$. It follows that U is abelian. □

Lemma 5.3. *Let U be a regular subgroup of G_n such that δ_U is linear. If $r(U) = 1$, then U is the translation subgroup $\mathcal{T}$, which is an abelian normal subgroup of G_n. Furthermore, $d(\mathcal{T}) = 2$.*

Proof. By the unipotency, we may always assume that U is upper unitriangular. Then, the rank condition gives $\delta(v_i) = 0$, for $1 \leq i \leq n$, whence our claim. □

Lemma 5.4. *Let U be a regular subgroup of G_n, $n \geq 2$, such that δ_U is linear. If $d(\mathbf{Z}(U)) = n$ then, up to conjugation, for some fixed $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}$:*

$$U = R_\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_1 & x_2 & \ldots & x_{n-2} & x_{n-1} & x_n \\ 0 & 1 & x_1 & \ldots & x_{n-3} & 0 & x_{n-2} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \ldots & x_{n-4} & 0 & x_{n-3} \\ \vdots & & & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 1 & 0 & x_1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 1 & \alpha x_{n-1} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{12}$$

In particular U is abelian and $r(U) = n-1$. Furthermore, R_0 and R_α are not conjugate for any $\alpha \neq 0$,

If $n \geq 3$, an epimorphism $\Psi : \mathbb{F}[t_1, t_2] \to \mathbb{F}I_{n+1} + R_0$ is obtained setting

$$\Psi(t_1) = X_1, \qquad \Psi(t_2) = X_{n-1}. \tag{13}$$

In this case we have $\mathrm{Ker}\,(\Psi) = \langle {t_1}^n, {t_1}^2, t_1t_2\rangle$.

If $n \geq 4$ is even, then R_α is conjugate to R_1 for any $\alpha \neq 0$ and an epimorphism $\Psi : \mathbb{F}[t_1, t_2] \to \mathbb{F}I_{n+1} + R_\alpha$ is obtained setting

$$\Psi(t_1) = \alpha X_1, \qquad \Psi(t_2) = \alpha^{\frac{n-2}{2}} X_{n-1}. \tag{14}$$

In this case $\mathrm{Ker}\,(\Psi) = \langle {t_1}^{n-1} - {t_2}^2, t_1t_2\rangle$.

If n is odd, write $\alpha \neq 0$ as $\alpha = \lambda\varepsilon^2$, $\lambda, \varepsilon \in \mathbb{F}^*$. Then R_α is conjugate to R_λ and an epimorphism $\Psi : \mathbb{F}[t_1, t_2] \to \mathbb{F}I_{n+1} + R_\alpha$ is obtained setting

$$\Psi(t_1) = \alpha X_1, \qquad \Psi(t_2) = \lambda^{\frac{n-3}{2}}\varepsilon^{n-2} X_{n-1}. \tag{15}$$

In this case $\mathrm{Ker}\,(\Psi) = \langle {t_1}^{n-1} - \lambda {t_2}^2, t_1t_2\rangle$. *In particular R_α and R_β ($\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}^*$) are conjugate if and only if β/α is a square in $\mathbb{F}^*$.*

Proof. Up to conjugation we may suppose that $z = \mathrm{diag}(J_n, J_1) \in \mathbf{Z}(U)$. The subalgebra generated by z coincides with the set $\left\{\begin{pmatrix} X & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} : X \in \mathbf{C}_{G_{n-1}}(J_n)\right\}$. This information gives the values of $\delta(v_i)$ for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$. From Lemma 4.1, we get $\delta(v_n) = \alpha E_{n,n-1}$. Applying (3), it follows that U is abelian. Conjugating by the permutation matrix associated to the transposition $(n, n+1)$ we obtain that U is conjugate to R_α.

The subgroups R_0 and R_α are not conjugate when $\alpha \neq 0$, since $k(R_0) = 2$ and $k(R_\alpha) = 1$. The presentations of the corresponding algebras can be verified by matrix calculation.

Assume now that n is odd. If $\alpha = \beta\varepsilon^2 \neq 0$, then the subgroups R_α and R_β are conjugate in virtue of (15) and Proposition 3.4. Conversely, suppose that $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}^*$ and that $Q^{-1}R_\alpha Q = R_\beta$ for some $Q \in \widehat{\mathrm{GL}}_n(\mathbb{F})$ (see Proposition 3.4). According to (12), write

$$R_\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & X & x_n \\ 0 & I_{n-1} + D_X & AX^{\mathrm{T}} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad R_\beta = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & Y & y_n \\ 0 & I_{n-1} + D_Y & BY^{\mathrm{T}} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $X = (x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1})$, $Y = (y_1, \ldots, y_{n-1})$, $A = \mathrm{diag}(\mathrm{antidiag}(1, \ldots, 1), \alpha)$ and $B = \mathrm{diag}(\mathrm{antidiag}(1, \ldots, 1), \beta)$. Hence $\det(A) = \zeta\alpha$ and $\det(B) = \zeta\beta$, where $\zeta = (-1)^{\frac{n+1}{2}}$. We may assume that $v_nQ = \lambda v_n$ since $\langle v_n\rangle$ is the subspace fixed pointwise by both subgroups. Thus, for some $N \in \mathbb{F}^{n-1}$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}^*$, $Q = Q_1Q_2$, where

$$Q_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & I_{n-1} & \lambda^{-1}N \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad Q_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & M & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda \end{pmatrix}, \quad \det(M) \neq 0,\ \lambda \neq 0.$$

Now, $Q_1^{-1}R_\alpha Q_1 = \widetilde{R}_\alpha$, where

$$\widetilde{R}_\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & X & \tilde{x}_n \\ 0 & I_{n-1} + D_X & \lambda^{-1}D_XN + AX^{\mathrm{T}} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & X & \tilde{x}_n \\ 0 & I_{n-1} + D_X & \widetilde{A}X^{\mathrm{T}} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

with $\det(\widetilde{A}) = \zeta\alpha$. From $\widetilde{R}_\alpha Q_2 - Q_2 R_\beta$ we get, in particular, $Y = XM$ and $MBY^{\mathrm{T}} = \lambda\widetilde{A}X^{\mathrm{T}}$, whence $MBM^{\mathrm{T}}X^{\mathrm{T}} = \lambda\widetilde{A}X^{\mathrm{T}}$, for all $X \in \mathbb{F}^{n-1}$. It follows that $MBM^{\mathrm{T}} = \lambda\widetilde{A}$ and taking the determinant of both sides, we obtain $\zeta\beta(\det(M))^2 = \zeta\lambda^{n-1}\alpha$. We conclude that β/α is a square. □

6. Standard regular subgroups

The aim of this section is to define, for every partition λ of $n+1$ different from (1^{n+1}), one or two abelian regular subgroups $S_\lambda, S_\lambda^\sharp$ of $\mathrm{AGL}_n(\mathbb{F})$ so that different partitions define non-conjugate subgroups.

To this purpose we start by identifying the direct product $\mathrm{AGL}_{m_1}(\mathbb{F})\times\mathrm{AGL}_{m_2}(\mathbb{F})$ with the stabilizer of $\mathbb{F}^{m_1}$ and $\mathbb{F}^{m_2}$ in $\mathrm{AGL}_{m_1+m_2}(\mathbb{F})$, namely with the subgroup:

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & v & w \\ 0 & A & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & B \end{pmatrix} : v \in \mathbb{F}^{m_1},\ w \in \mathbb{F}^{m_2},\ A \in \mathrm{GL}_{m_1}(\mathbb{F}),\ B \in \mathrm{GL}_{m_2}(\mathbb{F}) \right\}.$$

Clearly, in this identification, if U_i are respective regular subgroups of $\mathrm{AGL}_{m_i}(\mathbb{F})$ for $i = 1, 2$ then $U_1 \times U_2$ is a regular subgroup of $\mathrm{AGL}_{m_1+m_2}(\mathbb{F})$.

Here, and in the rest of the paper, we denote by $S_{(1+n)}$ the centralizer in $\mathrm{AGL}_n(\mathbb{F})$ of a unipotent Jordan block of size $n+1$, namely:

$$S_{(1+n)} = \mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{AGL}_n(\mathbb{F})}(J_{1+n}).$$

Moreover we write τ_{1+n} for $\tau_{S_{(1+n)}}$ and δ_{1+n} for $\delta_{S_{(1+n)}}$. By Lemma 5.2, a regular subgroup of $\mathrm{AGL}_n(F)$ is conjugate to $S_{(1+n)}$ if and only if $d(\mathbf{Z}(S_{(1+n)})) = n+1$. Observe that $S_{(1+n)}$ is abelian and indecomposable by Lemma 5.1. More generally, for a partition λ of $n+1$ such that:

$$\lambda = (1+n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_s), \quad s \geq 1, \quad n_i \geq n_{1+i} \geq 1, \quad 1 \leq i \leq s-1, \tag{16}$$

we define an abelian regular subgroup S_λ of $\mathrm{AGL}_n(\mathbb{F})$. Namely we set:

$$S_\lambda = S_{(1+n_1,\ldots,n_s)} = \prod_{j=1}^{s} S_{(1+n_j)}.$$

Notice that S_λ is indecomposable only for $s = 1$. In particular, if $\lambda = (2, 1^{n-1})$, then $S_\lambda = \mathcal{T}$.

Lemma 6.1. *Given a partition $(1+n_1, n_2)$ of $n+1$, with $1+n_1 \geq n_2 > 1$, set:*

$$S^\sharp_{(1+n_1,n_2)} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & u & v \\ 0 & \tau_{1+n_1}(u) & w \otimes Du^{\mathrm{T}} \\ 0 & 0 & \tau_{n_2}(v) \end{pmatrix} : u \in \mathbb{F}^{n_1}, v \in \mathbb{F}^{n_2} \right\},$$

where $w = (0, \ldots, 0, 1) \in \mathbb{F}^{n_2}$, $D = \mathrm{antidiag}(1, \ldots, 1) \in \mathrm{GL}_{n_1}(\mathbb{F})$. Then $S^\sharp_{(1+n_1,n_2)}$ is an indecomposable regular subgroup of $\mathrm{AGL}_n(\mathbb{F})$ with $d(S^\sharp_{(1+n_1,n_2)}) = n_1 + 2$.

Proof. Routine calculation with matrices shows that $S^\sharp_{(1+n_1,n_2)}$ is closed under multiplication, hence a subgroup. Moreover it is indecomposable by Lemma 5.1. Again by matrix calculation one can see that $d(S^\sharp_{(1+n_1,n_2)}) = n_1 + 2$. To check that $S^\sharp_{(1+n_1,n_2)}$ is a subgroup it is useful to note that:

(a) all components of $u(w \otimes Du^{\mathrm{T}})$, except possibly the last one, are zero;

(b) $\delta_{n_2}(v)$ does not depend on the last component of v;
(c) $(w \otimes Du^{\mathrm{T}})\delta_{n_2}(v) = 0$;
(d) $w \otimes D(u_1\delta_{1+n_1}(u_2))^{\mathrm{T}} = \delta_{1+n_1}(u_1)(w \otimes Du_2^{\mathrm{T}})$ for all $u_1, u_2 \in \mathbb{F}^{n_1}$.

□

Next, consider a partition μ of $n+1$ such that:

$$\mu = (1+n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_s),\ s \geq 2,\ 1+n_1 \geq n_2 > 1,\ n_i \geq n_{1+i} \geq 1,\ 2 \leq i \leq s-1. \tag{17}$$

We define the abelian regular subgroup $S^\sharp_\mu$ in the following way:

$$S^\sharp_\mu = S^\sharp_{(1+n_1,n_2,n_3\ldots,n_s)} = S^\sharp_{(1+n_1,n_2)} \times \prod_{j=3}^{s} S_{(1+n_j)}.$$

The regular subgroups $S_\lambda, S^\sharp_\mu$ associated to partitions as above will be called standard regular subgroups. As already mentioned S_λ and $S^\sharp_\mu$ are always abelian.

Remark 6.2. Let $\lambda = (1+n_1, \ldots, n_s)$ be a partition as in (16). Then $\mathbb{F}^n$ is a direct sum of s indecomposable modules of respective dimensions n_i for which $d = n_i + 1$. Furthermore,

$$\mathcal{L}_\lambda = \mathbb{F}I_{n+1} + S_\lambda \cong \frac{\mathbb{F}[t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_s]}{\langle t_i^{n_i+1} : 1 \leq i \leq s;\ t_it_j : 1 \leq i < j \leq s\rangle}. \tag{18}$$

An epimorphism $\Psi : \mathbb{F}[t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_s] \to \mathcal{L}_\lambda$ is obtained by setting

$$\Psi(t_i) = X_i. \tag{19}$$

Let now $\mu = (1 + n_1, \ldots, n_s)$ be a partition as in (17). Then $\mathbb{F}^n$ is a direct sum of $s-2$ indecomposable modules of respective dimension n_i, $i \geq 3$, for which $d = n_i + 1$, and a single indecomposable module of dimension $n_1 + n_2$ for which $d = n_1 + 2$. Furthermore,

$$\mathcal{L}^\sharp_\mu = \mathbb{F}I_{n+1} + S^\sharp_\mu \cong \frac{\mathbb{F}[t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_s]}{\langle t_1^{n_1+1} - t_2^{n_2};\ t_i^{n_i+1} : 3 \leq i \leq s;\ t_it_j : 1 \leq i < j \leq s\rangle}. \tag{20}$$

An epimorphism $\Psi : \mathbb{F}[t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_s] \to \mathcal{L}^\sharp_\mu$ is obtained by setting

$$\Psi(t_i) = X_i. \tag{21}$$

Theorem 6.3. *Let $\lambda_1 = (1+n_1, \ldots, n_s), \lambda_2 = (1+m_1, \ldots, m_t)$ be two partitions of $n+1$ as in* (16) *and let $\mu_1 = (1+a_1, \ldots, a_h), \mu_2 = (1+b_2, \ldots, b_k)$ be two partitions of $n+1$ as in* (17)*. Then*

(a) *S_{λ_1} is not conjugate to $S^\sharp_{\mu_1}$;*
(b) *S_{λ_1} is conjugate in $\mathrm{AGL}_{n+1}(\mathbb{F})$ to S_{λ_2} if and only if $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2$;*
(c) *$S^\sharp_{\mu_1}$ is conjugate in $\mathrm{AGL}_{n+1}(\mathbb{F})$ to $S^\sharp_{\mu_2}$ if and only if $\mu_1 = \mu_2$.*

Proof. (a) There exists an isomorphism $\varphi : \mathcal{L}_{\lambda_1} \to \mathcal{L}^\sharp_{\mu_1}$. Setting $vu := v\varphi(u)$ for all $u \in \mathcal{L}_{\lambda_1}$, $v \in \mathbf{J}(\mathcal{L}^\sharp_{\mu_1})$ we may consider $\mathbf{J}(\mathcal{L}^\sharp_{\mu_1})$ as an $\mathcal{L}_{\lambda_1}$-module. Clearly $\mathbf{J}(\mathcal{L}_{\lambda_1}) \cong \mathbf{J}(\mathcal{L}^\sharp_{\mu_1}) \cong \mathbb{F}^n$ as $\mathcal{L}_{\lambda_1}$-modules. By construction, $\mathbb{F}^n$ is a direct sum of indecomposable $\mathcal{L}_{\lambda_1}$-modules. By Remark 6.2, for $\mathbf{J}(\mathcal{L}_{\lambda_1})$ each direct summand has dimension n_i and $d = n_i + 1$ and for $\mathbf{J}(\mathcal{L}^\sharp_{\mu_1})$ there is a direct summand of dimension $a_1 + a_2$ and $d = a_1 + 2 < a_1 + a_2 + 1$. Hence, by Krull-Schmidt Theorem (e.g., see [11, page 115]), S_{λ_1} is not conjugate to $S^\sharp_{\mu_1}$.

(b) Arguing as before, we may consider $\mathbf{J}(\mathcal{L}_{\lambda_2})$ as an $\mathcal{L}_{\lambda_1}$-module. In this case, by construction, $\mathbb{F}^n$ is a direct sum of indecomposable $\mathcal{L}_{\lambda_1}$-modules of dimension

n_i such that $d = n_i + 1$ (see Remark 6.2(1)). By Krull-Schmidt Theorem they are conjugate if and only if $s = t$ and $n_i = m_i$.

(c) Again, we may consider $\mathbf{J}(\mathcal{L}^\sharp_{\mu_2})$ as an $\mathcal{L}^\sharp_{\mu_1}$-module. In this case, by construction, $\mathbb{F}^n$ is a direct sum of indecomposable $\mathcal{L}^\sharp_{\mu_1}$-modules, one of dimension $a_1 + a_2$ such that $d = a_1 + 2 < a_1 + a_2 + 1$, the others of dimension n_i such that $d = a_i + 1$ (see Remark 6.2(2)). By Krull-Schmidt Theorem they are conjugate if and only if $h = k$ and $a_i = b_i$. □

Remark 6.4. Note that for $n \geq 3$, the regular subgroups R_0 and R_1 of Lemma 5.4 coincide, respectively, with $S_{(n,1)}$ and $S^\sharp_{(n-1,2)}$.

7. Regular subgroups with linear δ

By [14, Lemma 5.1], the only regular subgroup of $\mathrm{AGL}_1(\mathbb{F})$ is the translation subgroup $\mathcal{T} = S_{(2)} = \begin{pmatrix} x_0 & x_1 \\ 0 & x_0 \end{pmatrix}$. However, already for $n = 2$, a description becomes much more complicated. As seen in Example 2.5 there are $2^{|\mathbb{R}|}$ conjugacy classes of regular subgroups of $\mathrm{AGL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ with trivial center. Also, restricting to the unipotent case, one may only say that every unipotent regular subgroup is conjugate to:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_1 & x_2 \\ 0 & 1 & \sigma(x_1) \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

where $\sigma \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{F}, \mathbb{F})$ ([14, Lemma 5.1]). See also Examples 2.6 and 2.7.

Thus, from now on, we restrict our attention to regular subgroups U of $\mathrm{AGL}_n(\mathbb{F})$ such that the map δ_U defined in (1) is linear. U is unipotent by Theorem 2.4 and so there exists $1 \neq z \in \mathbf{Z}(U)$. By Theorem 4.4, up to conjugation, we may assume that z is a Jordan form. For the notation we refer to Section 5. Our classification is obtained working on the parameters d, r and k, considered in this order.

In the tables of the next subsections the indecomposability of the regular subgroups follows from Lemma 5.1, since $k(U) = 1$, except for the subgroup U_1^4 of Table 3, for which we refer to Lemma 7.4. Also, we describe the kernel of the epimorphism

$$\Psi : \mathbb{F}[t_1, \ldots, t_s] \to \mathbb{F}I_{n+1} + U.$$

7.1. **Case** $n = 2$**.** If $d(\mathbf{Z}(U)) = 3$, then U is abelian and conjugate to $S_{(3)}$ by Lemma 5.2. If $d(\mathbf{Z}(U)) = 2$, then U is abelian and $r(U) = 1$ by Lemma 5.4. Thus U is conjugate $S_{(2,1)}$ by Lemma 5.3. Table 1 summarizes these results.

U	$\mathbb{F}I_{n+1} + U$	Ψ	$\mathrm{Ker}\,(\Psi)$	
$S_{(3)}$	$\begin{pmatrix} x_0 & x_1 & x_2 \\ 0 & x_0 & x_1 \\ 0 & 0 & x_0 \end{pmatrix}$	(19)	$\langle {t_1}^3 \rangle$	indec.
$S_{(2,1)}$	$\begin{pmatrix} x_0 & x_1 & x_2 \\ 0 & x_0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & x_0 \end{pmatrix}$	(19)	$\langle t_1, t_2 \rangle^2$	

Table 1. Representatives for the conjugacy classes of regular subgroups U of $\mathrm{AGL}_2(\mathbb{F})$ with linear δ, for any field $\mathbb{F}$.

7.2. **Case $n = 3$.** To obtain the full classification, we need some preliminary results.

Lemma 7.1. *If $d(\mathbf{Z}(U)) = r(\mathbf{Z}(U)) = 2$, then U is abelian, conjugate to*

$$U_1^3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_1 & x_2 & x_3 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & x_2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & x_1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

and $\operatorname{char}\mathbb{F} = 2$. *An epimorphism $\Psi : \mathbb{F}[t_1, t_2] \to \mathbb{F}I_4 + U$ is obtained by setting*

$$\Psi(t_1) = X_1, \quad \Psi(t_2) = X_2. \tag{22}$$

In this case, we have $\operatorname{Ker}(\Psi) = \langle {t_1}^2, {t_2}^2 \rangle$.

Proof. We may assume $z = \operatorname{diag}(J_2, J_2) \in \mathbf{Z}(U)$, whence $\delta(v_1) = E_{2,3}$. From Lemma 4.1 and the unipotency of U we obtain $\delta(v_2) = E_{1,3} + \alpha E_{2,1} + \beta E_{2,3}$. It follows $v_1\delta(v_2) = v_3$. Now, we apply (2) to v_1, v_2, which gives $\delta(v_3) = \delta(v_1)\delta(v_2) = 0$. Direct calculation shows that U is abelian. Hence $d(\mathbf{Z}(U)) = d(U) = 2$. In particular, $(\mu(v_2) - I_4)^2 = 0$ gives $\alpha = \beta = 0$. Finally $(\mu(v_1 + v_2) - I_4)^2 = 0$ gives $\operatorname{char}\mathbb{F} = 2$. □

It is convenient to denote by $V(\alpha_2, \alpha_3, \beta_2, \beta_3)$ the regular subgroup defined by:

$$V(\alpha_2, \alpha_3, \beta_2, \beta_3) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_1 & x_2 & x_3 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha_2 x_2 + \alpha_3 x_3 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \beta_2 x_2 + \beta_3 x_3 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{23}$$

Notice that $V(\alpha_2, \alpha_3, \beta_2, \beta_3)$ is abelian if and only if $\alpha_3 = \beta_2$.

We need the cosquare $A^{-\mathrm{T}}A$ of a nonsingular matrix A. If A, B are congruent, i.e., $B = PAP^{\mathrm{T}}$ for a nonsingular P, their cosquares are conjugate (e.g., see [9]).

Lemma 7.2. *Let $\beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{F}$ with $\beta\gamma \neq 0$. The subgroups $V_\beta = V(1, 1, 0, \beta)$ and $V_\gamma = V(1, 1, 0, \gamma)$ are conjugate in* $\mathrm{AGL}_3(\mathbb{F})$ *if and only if $\beta = \gamma$.*

Proof. Suppose that $Q^{-1}V_\beta Q = V_\gamma$ for some $Q \in \widehat{\mathrm{GL}}_3(\mathbb{F})$ (see Proposition 3.4). We may assume that $v_1 Q = \lambda v_1$ since $\langle v_1 \rangle$ is the subspace of $\mathbb{F}^3$ fixed pointwise by both subgroups. Thus Q has shape:

$$Q = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & q_1 & p_{1,1} & p_{1,2} \\ 0 & q_2 & p_{2,1} & p_{2,2} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \lambda \neq 0, \quad P = \begin{pmatrix} p_{1,1} & p_{1,2} \\ p_{2,1} & p_{2,2} \end{pmatrix} \text{ nonsingular.}$$

The matrix $K = I_4 - \frac{q_1}{\lambda}E_{3,2} - \frac{q_2}{\lambda}E_{4,2}$ normalizes V_β. Hence, substituting Q with KQ, we may suppose $q_1 = q_2 = 0$. Setting $B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & \beta \end{pmatrix}$ and $C = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & \gamma \end{pmatrix}$ we have:

$$V_\beta = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_1 & X \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & BX^{\mathrm{T}} & I_2 \end{pmatrix} \; X = (x_2, x_3), \quad V_\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & y_1 & Y \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & CY^{\mathrm{T}} & I_2 \end{pmatrix} \; Y = (y_2, y_3).$$

From $V_\beta Q = QV_\gamma$ we get $Y = XP$ and $\lambda BX^{\mathrm{T}} = PCY^{\mathrm{T}}$, whence

$$\lambda BX^{\mathrm{T}} = PCP^{\mathrm{T}}X^{\mathrm{T}}, \quad \text{for all } X \in \mathbb{F}^2.$$

It follows that $\lambda B = PCP^{\mathrm{T}}$, i.e., the matrices λB and C are congruent. So their cosquares must be conjugate. But the characteristic polynomials of the cosquares are respectively $t^2 + (\beta^{-1} - 2)t + 1$ and $t^2 + (\gamma^{-1} - 2)t + 1$, whence $\beta = \gamma$. □

Lemma 7.3. *Suppose $d(\mathbf{Z}(U)) = 2$ and $r(\mathbf{Z}(U)) = 1$. If U is abelian, then $U = S_{(2,1^2)}$. Otherwise, U is conjugate to exactly one of the following subgroups:*

(a) $N_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_1 & x_2 & x_3 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & x_1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, *if $k(U) = 2$;*

(b) $N_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_1 & x_2 & x_3 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & -x_2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & x_1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, *if $k = 1$, $d(U) = 2$ and $\operatorname{char}\mathbb{F} \neq 2$;*

(c) $N_{3,\lambda} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_1 & x_2 & x_3 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & x_1 + x_2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \lambda x_2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}^*$, *if $k = 1$ and $d(U) = 3$.*

The algebras $\mathcal{L}_1 = \mathbb{F}I_4 + N_1$, $\mathcal{L}_2 = \mathbb{F}I_4 + N_2$ and $\mathcal{L}_{3,\lambda} = \mathbb{F}I_4 + N_{3,\lambda}$ have the following presentation:

$$\begin{array}{lll} \mathcal{L}_1 = \operatorname{Span}_{\mathbb{F}}(t_1, t_2), & \textit{where} & {t_1}^2 = {t_2}^2 = t_1 t_2 = 0; \\ \mathcal{L}_2 = \operatorname{Span}_{\mathbb{F}}(t_1, t_2), & \textit{where} & {t_1}^2 = {t_2}^2 = t_1 t_2 + t_2 t_1 = 0; \\ \mathcal{L}_{3,\lambda} = \operatorname{Span}_{\mathbb{F}}(t_1, t_2), & \textit{where} & {t_2}^2 - \lambda {t_1}^2 = t_2 t_1 = {t_1}^2 - t_1 t_2 = 0, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{F}^*. \end{array}$$

Proof. If U is abelian, then $r(U) = r(\mathbf{Z}(U)) = 1$ and by Lemma 5.3, $U = S_{(2,1^2)}$. So, suppose that U is not abelian. We may assume $z = \operatorname{diag}(J_2, J_1, J_1) \in \mathbf{Z}(U)$. Now $z = \mu(v_1)$ gives $\delta(v_1) = 0$ and, from (3), we get that the first row of $\delta(v)$ is zero for all $v \in \mathbb{F}^3$. Hence $\delta(v) = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \alpha & y_1 & y_2 \\ \beta & y_3 & -y_1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ with $y_1^2 + y_2 y_3 = 0$, by the unipotency of U. Now fix $v = (0, x_2, x_3) \neq 0$ in $\langle v_2, v_3 \rangle$. Conjugating by $g = \operatorname{diag}(I_2, P)$ with a suitable $P \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F})$, we may assume either (i) $y_1 = y_2 = 0$ and $y_3 = 1$ or (ii) $y_1 = y_2 = y_3 = 0$.

In case (i) if $x_3 = 0$, we may suppose $v = v_2$. So $\delta(v_2) = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \alpha_2 & 0 & 0 \\ \beta_2 & 1 & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ and $\delta(v_3) = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \alpha_3 & \gamma_1 & \gamma_2 \\ \beta_3 & \gamma_3 & -\gamma_1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$. Applying (2) to v_2, v_2 and to v_3, v_2 we obtain respectively $\alpha_2 = 0$ and $\gamma_1 = -1$, $\gamma_2 = 0$, which contradicts the unipotency of U. On the other hand, if $x_3 \neq 0$, conjugating by $\operatorname{diag}\left(I_2, \left(\begin{smallmatrix} x_3^{-1} & 0 \\ -x_2 x_3^{-2} & x_3^{-1} \end{smallmatrix}\right)\right)$ we may assume $v = v_3$, hence $\delta(v_3) = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \alpha_3 & 0 & 0 \\ \beta_3 & 1 & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$. Applying (2) to v_3, v_3 we obtain $\delta(v_2) = \delta(v_3)^2 = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \alpha_3 & 0 & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$. However, in this case U is abelian.

In case (ii), up to a further conjugation by a matrix of the same shape of g, we may suppose $v = v_2$, hence $\delta(v_2) = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \alpha_2 & 0 & 0 \\ \beta_2 & 0 & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$. Set $\delta(v_3) = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \alpha_3 & \gamma_1 & \gamma_2 \\ \beta_3 & \gamma_3 & -\gamma_1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$. Now, (2) applied to v_2, v_3 gives $\gamma_1 \delta(v_2) + \gamma_2 \delta(v_3) = \delta(v_2)\delta(v_3) = 0$. In particular, $\gamma_2^2 = 0$, whence $\gamma_2 = 0$. It follows $\gamma_1 = 0$ by the condition $\gamma_1^2 + \gamma_2 \gamma_3 = 0$. Replacing, if necessary, v_3 by a scalar multiple, we get either $\gamma_3 = 1$ or $\gamma_3 = 0$. In the first case, (2) applied to v_3, v_3 gives $\alpha_3 = \beta_2$, $\alpha_2 = 0$ and U is abelian. In the second one, U is conjugate $V(\alpha_2, \alpha_3, \beta_2, \beta_3)$ with $\alpha_3 \neq \beta_2$, from the non-abelianity.

Let $\Delta = \alpha_2\beta_3 - \alpha_3\beta_2$, with $\alpha_3 \neq \beta_2$. If $\Delta = 0$, then $k(U) = 2$. An isomorphism $\Psi : \mathcal{L}_1 \to \mathcal{L} = \mathbb{F}I_4 + V(\alpha_2, \alpha_3, \beta_2, \beta_3)$ is obtained by setting

$$\text{if } \alpha_2 \neq 0 \text{ take } \begin{cases} \Psi(t_1) = \beta_2 X_2 - \alpha_2 X_3 \\ \Psi(t_2) = \alpha_3 X_2 - \alpha_2 X_3 \end{cases};$$

$$\text{if } \alpha_2 = \beta_2 = 0 \text{ take } \begin{cases} \Psi(t_1) = -\frac{\beta_3}{\alpha_3} X_2 + X_3 \\ \Psi(t_2) = X_2 \end{cases};$$

$$\text{if } \alpha_2 = \alpha_3 = 0 \text{ take } \begin{cases} \Psi(t_1) = X_2 \\ \Psi(t_2) = -\frac{\beta_3}{\beta_2} X_2 + X_3 \end{cases}.$$

By Proposition 3.4, the subgroup U is conjugate to N_1.

Suppose now that $\Delta \neq 0$ (which implies $k(U) = 1$). If $d(U) = 2$, then $\operatorname{char}\mathbb{F} \neq 2$, $\alpha_2 = \beta_3 = 0$ and $\beta_2 = -\alpha_3 \neq 0$. An isomorphism $\Psi : \mathcal{L}_2 \to \mathcal{L} = \mathbb{F}I_4 + V(\alpha_2, \alpha_3, \beta_2, \beta_3)$ is obtained by setting

$$\Psi(t_1) = X_2, \quad \Psi(t_2) = X_3.$$

By Proposition 3.4, the subgroup U is conjugate to N_2.

If $d(U) = 3$, an isomorphism $\Psi : \mathcal{L}_{3,\lambda} \to \mathcal{L} = \mathbb{F}I_4 + V(\alpha_2, \alpha_3, \beta_2, \beta_3)$ is obtained by setting $\lambda = \frac{\alpha_2\beta_3 - \alpha_3\beta_2}{(\alpha_3 - \beta_2)^2}$ and

$$\text{if } \beta_3 \neq 0 \text{ take } \begin{cases} \Psi(t_1) = X_3 \\ \Psi(t_2) = -\frac{\beta_3}{\alpha_3 - \beta_2} X_2 + \frac{\alpha_3}{\alpha_3 - \beta_2} X_3 \end{cases};$$

$$\text{if } \beta_3 = 0, \alpha_2 \neq 0 \text{ take } \begin{cases} \Psi(t_1) = X_2 \\ \Psi(t_2) = -\frac{\beta_2}{\alpha_3 - \beta_2} X_2 + \frac{\alpha_2}{\alpha_3 - \beta_2} X_3 \end{cases};$$

$$\text{if } \alpha_2 = \beta_3 = 0 \ (\alpha_3 \neq -\beta_2) \text{ take } \begin{cases} \Psi(t_1) = X_2 + \frac{\alpha_3 - \beta_2}{\alpha_3} X_3 \\ \Psi(t_2) = -\frac{\beta_2}{\alpha_3 - \beta_2} X_2 + X_3 \end{cases}.$$

By Proposition 3.4, the subgroup $V(\alpha_2, \alpha_3, \beta_2, \beta_3)$ is conjugate to $N_{3,\lambda}$, $\lambda \neq 0$. The statement now follows from Lemma 7.2 □

We can now classify the regular subgroups U of $\mathrm{AGL}_3(\mathbb{F})$ having linear δ, including the non-abelian ones, arising from $d(\mathbf{Z}(U)) = 2$ and $r(\mathbf{Z}(U)) = 1$.

If $d(\mathbf{Z}(U)) = 4$, then U is conjugate to $S_{(4)}$, by Lemma 5.2. If $d(\mathbf{Z}(U)) = 3$, then U is one of the subgroups R_α described in Lemma 5.4: namely, for $k(U) = 2$, U is conjugate to R_0 and, for $k(U) = 1$, U is conjugate to R_λ, where λ can be chosen in a transversal $\mathbb{F}^\square$ of $(\mathbb{F}^*)^2$ in $\mathbb{F}^*$. As observed in Remark 6.4, R_0 and R_1 coincide, respectively, with $S_{(3,1)}$ and $S^\sharp_{(2,2)}$. If $d(\mathbf{Z}(U)) = 2$ we have two possibilities. When $r(\mathbf{Z}(U)) = 2$ we apply Lemma 7.1, that gives $\operatorname{char}\mathbb{F} = 2$ and U is conjugate to U_1^3. When $r(\mathbf{Z}(U)) = 1$ we apply Lemma 7.3: when abelian $U = S_{(2,1^2)}$, otherwise U is conjugate to one of the subgroups $N_1, N_2, N_{3,\lambda}, \lambda \in \mathbb{F}^*$.

A complete set of representatives of the abelian regular subgroups of $\mathrm{AGL}_3(\mathbb{F})$ is given in Table 2. The conjugacy classes of non-abelian regular subgroups of $\mathrm{AGL}_3(\mathbb{F})$ are described in Lemma 7.3.

7.3. **Case $n = 4$.** Once again, to obtain the full classification of the abelian regular subgroups of $\mathrm{AGL}_4(\mathbb{F})$ we need some preliminary results.

U	$\mathbb{F}I_4+U$	$\operatorname{char}\mathbb{F}$	Ψ	$\operatorname{Ker}(\Psi)$	
$S_{(4)}$	$\begin{pmatrix} x_0 & x_1 & x_2 & x_3 \\ 0 & x_0 & x_1 & x_2 \\ 0 & 0 & x_0 & x_1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & x_0 \end{pmatrix}$	any	(19)	$\langle {t_1}^4\rangle$	indec.
$S_{(3,1)}$	$\begin{pmatrix} x_0 & x_1 & x_2 & x_3 \\ 0 & x_0 & x_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & x_0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & x_0 \end{pmatrix}$	any	(13)	$\langle {t_1}^3, {t_2}^2, t_1t_2\rangle$	
$R_\lambda,\ \lambda\in\mathbb{F}^\square$ $(R_1=S^\sharp_{(2,2)})$	$\begin{pmatrix} x_0 & x_1 & x_2 & x_3 \\ 0 & x_0 & 0 & x_1 \\ 0 & 0 & x_0 & \lambda x_2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & x_0 \end{pmatrix}$	any	(15)	$\langle {t_1}^2-\lambda {t_2}^2, t_1t_2\rangle$	indec.
U_1^3	$\begin{pmatrix} x_0 & x_1 & x_2 & x_3 \\ 0 & x_0 & 0 & x_2 \\ 0 & 0 & x_0 & x_1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & x_0 \end{pmatrix}$	2	(22)	$\langle {t_1}^2, {t_2}^2\rangle$	indec.
$S_{(2,1^2)}$	$\begin{pmatrix} x_0 & x_1 & x_2 & x_3 \\ 0 & x_0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & x_0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & x_0 \end{pmatrix}$	any	(19)	$\langle t_1,t_2,t_3\rangle^2$	

TABLE 2. Representatives for the conjugacy classes of abelian regular subgroups U of $\mathrm{AGL}_3(\mathbb{F})$, for any field $\mathbb{F}$.

Lemma 7.4. *Let U be a regular subgroup of $\mathrm{AGL}_4(\mathbb{F})$ such that δ is linear. If $d(\mathbf{Z}(U))=r(\mathbf{Z}(U))=3$, then U is abelian and is conjugate to*

$$R(\alpha,\beta)=\begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_1 & x_2 & x_3 & x_4 \\ 0 & 1 & x_1 & 0 & x_3 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \beta x_3 & 1 & x_1+\alpha x_3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \alpha,\beta\in\mathbb{F}.$$

Furthermore, if $\mathbb{F}$ has no quadratic extensions, there are exactly two conjugacy classes of such subgroups, whose representatives are, for instance, $R(0,0)$ and $R(1,0)$, which is conjugate to $S_{(3,2)}$. Finally, $U_1^4=R(0,0)$ is indecomposable.

Proof. We may suppose that $z=\operatorname{diag}(J_3,J_2)\in\mathbf{Z}(U)$. From $z,z^2\in U$ we obtain $\delta(v_1)=E_{1,2}+E_{3,4}$ and $\delta(v_2)=0$. By Lemma 4.1 an the unipotency of U it follows that $\delta(v_3)=E_{1,4}+\alpha E_{3,4}+\beta E_{3,2}+\gamma(E_{3,1}+E_{4,2})$. Applying (2) to v_3,v_1 we obtain $\delta(v_4)=\delta(v_3)\delta(v_1)=\gamma E_{3,2}$. In particular U is abelian. Now $(\mu(v_3)-I_5)^3=0$ gives $\gamma=0$. We conclude that U is conjugate to $R(\alpha,\beta)$.

Now, assume that $\mathbb{F}$ has no quadratic extensions. An epimorphism $\Psi:\mathbb{F}[t_1,t_2]\to\mathbb{F}I_{n+1}+R(\alpha,\beta)$ is obtained in the following way. If $\alpha^2+4\beta\neq 0$, take

$$\Psi(t_1)=(\alpha+\sqrt{\alpha^2+4\beta})X_1-2X_3,\quad \Psi(t_2)=(\alpha-\sqrt{\alpha^2+4\beta})X_1-2X_3 \tag{24}$$

when $\operatorname{char}\mathbb{F}\neq 2$ and take

$$\Psi(t_1)=rX_1+X_3,\quad \Psi(t_2)=(r+\alpha)X_1+X_3, \tag{25}$$

when $\operatorname{char}\mathbb{F} = 2$ (here $r \in \mathbb{F}$ is such that $r^2 + \alpha r + \beta = 0$). In both cases, $\operatorname{Ker}(\Psi) = \langle {t_1}^3, {t_2}^3, t_1t_2\rangle$. Comparison with the presentation of $\mathbb{F}I_5 + S_{(3,2)}$ given in (18) shows that $R(\alpha,\beta)$ is conjugate to $S_{(3,2)}$ by Proposition 3.4.

If $\alpha^2 + 4\beta = 0$, take

$$\Psi(t_1) = X_1, \quad \Psi(t_2) = \alpha X_1 - 2X_3 \tag{26}$$

when $\operatorname{char}\mathbb{F} \neq 2$ and take

$$\Psi(t_1) = X_1, \quad \Psi(t_2) = \sqrt{\beta}X_1 + X_3 \tag{27}$$

when $\operatorname{char}\mathbb{F} = 2$. In both cases $\operatorname{Ker}(\Psi) = \langle {t_1}^3, {t_1}^2t_2, {t_2}^2\rangle$.

The algebras defined by the two presentations above are not isomorphic, as the subspaces consisting of elements whose square is zero (namely $\langle {t_1}^2, {t_2}^2\rangle$ in the first case, $\langle {t_1}^2, t_2, t_1t_2\rangle$ in the second case) have different dimensions. By Proposition 3.4, there are exactly two conjugacy classes of subgroups $R(\alpha,\beta)$, depending on the nullity of $\alpha^2 + 4\beta$.

Noting that $k(U_1^4) = 2$, direct computation shows that U_1^4 is indecomposable. □

Lemma 7.5. *Let U be an abelian regular subgroup of $\mathrm{AGL}_4(\mathbb{F})$. If $d(U) = 3$ and $r(U) = 2$, then U is conjugate to*

$$R(\alpha,\beta,\gamma) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_1 & x_2 & x_3 & x_4 \\ 0 & 1 & x_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \alpha x_3 + \beta x_4 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \beta x_3 + \gamma x_4 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

If $\beta^2 - \alpha\gamma \neq 0$, then $k(U) = 1$. If $\beta^2 - \alpha\gamma = 0$ with $(\alpha,\beta,\gamma) \neq (0,0,0)$, then $k(U) = 2$. Finally, if $\alpha = \beta = \gamma = 0$, then $k(U) = 3$. Furthermore, assuming that every element of $\mathbb{F}$ is a square, there are exactly three conjugacy classes of such subgroups. Their representatives are, for instance,

$$U_2^4 = R(0,0,1) \ \ (\text{if } k = 1), \quad R(0,1,0) \ \ (\text{if } k = 2), \quad R(0,0,0) = S_{(3,1,1)} \ \ (\text{if } k = 3).$$

Observe that $R(0,1,0)$ is conjugate to $S^\sharp_{(2,2,1)}$.

Proof. We may assume $z = \operatorname{diag}(J_3, J_1, J_1) \in U$. From $z, z^2 \in U$ we obtain $\delta(v_1) = E_{1,2}$ and $\delta(v_2) = 0$. By Lemma 4.1 and the unipotency of U, for any $v \in \langle v_3, v_4\rangle$ we have $\delta(v) = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha & \xi & \eta \\ 0 & \beta & \epsilon & -\xi \end{smallmatrix}\right)$, where $\xi^2 + \eta\epsilon = 0$. Now, $\operatorname{rk}(\mu(v_1 + v_3 + v_4) - I_5) = 3$ implies $\delta(v_3) = \alpha_3E_{3,2} + \beta_3E_{4,2}$ and $\delta(v_4) = \alpha_4E_{3,2} + \beta_4E_{4,2}$. Since U is abelian, (3) applied to v_3, v_4 implies $\alpha_4 = \beta_3$. Hence U is conjugate to $R(\alpha,\beta,\gamma)$.

Suppose first that $\Delta = \beta^2 - \alpha\gamma \neq 0$. In this case $k(U) = 1$. If $\operatorname{char}\mathbb{F} \neq 2$ define

(28)

if $\alpha \neq 0$			if $\alpha = 0$		
$\Psi(t_1)$	$=$	X_1,	$\Psi(t_1)$	$=$	βX_1,
$\Psi(t_2)$	$=$	$\frac{\beta+\sqrt{\Delta}}{2\Delta}X_3 - \frac{\alpha}{2\Delta}X_4$,	$\Psi(t_2)$	$=$	$-\frac{\gamma}{2}X_3 + \beta X_4$,
$\Psi(t_3)$	$=$	$\frac{-\beta+\sqrt{\Delta}}{\alpha}X_3 + X_4$,	$\Psi(t_3)$	$=$	X_3,

and if $\operatorname{char}\mathbb{F} = 2$, define

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \Psi(t_1) & = & \sqrt[4]{\Delta}X_1 + \frac{\sqrt{\gamma}}{\sqrt[4]{\Delta}}X_3 + \frac{\sqrt{\alpha}}{\sqrt[4]{\Delta}}X_4, \\ \Psi(t_2) & = & \sqrt{\alpha}X_1 + X_3, \\ \Psi(t_3) & = & \sqrt{\gamma}X_1 + X_4. \end{array} \tag{29}$$

We get $\mathrm{Ker}\,(\Psi) = \langle {t_1}^2 - t_2t_3, {t_2}^2, {t_3}^2, t_1t_2, t_1t_3\rangle$.

Next suppose $\beta^2 - \alpha\gamma = 0$ and $(\alpha,\beta,\gamma) \neq (0,0,0)$. Then $k(U) = 2$. Define

$$\begin{array}{llll} \text{if } \alpha \neq 0 & & \text{if } \alpha = 0 \text{ and } \gamma \neq 0 & \\ \Psi(t_1) = X_1, & & \Psi(t_1) = \sqrt{\gamma}X_1, & \\ \Psi(t_2) = -\frac{\beta\sqrt{\alpha}+1}{\sqrt{\alpha}}X_3 + \alpha X_4, & & \Psi(t_2) = X_4, & \\ \Psi(t_3) = -\beta X_3 + \alpha X_4, & & \Psi(t_3) = X_3. & \end{array} \tag{30}$$

In both cases, $\mathrm{Ker}\,(\Psi) = \langle {t_1}^2 - {t_2}^2, {t_3}^2, t_1t_2, t_1t_3, t_2t_3\rangle$. Comparison with the presentation of $\mathbb{F}I_5 + S^\sharp_{(2,2,1)}$ given in (20) shows that U is conjugate to $S^\sharp_{(2,2,1)}$.

Finally, if $\alpha = \beta = \gamma = 0$, then $R(0,0,0) = S_{(3,1,1)}$ and $k(U) = 3$. □

Remark 7.6. Consider the algebra $\mathcal{L}$ of Example 3.2 and the corresponding regular subgroup $R = R(-1,0,-1)$ of the previous Lemma. Since $k(R) = 1$, under the assumption that -1 is a square in $\mathbb{F}$, we obtain that the algebras

$$\frac{\mathbb{F}[t_1,t_2,t_3]}{\langle {t_1}^2 + {t_2}^2, {t_1}^2 + {t_3}^2, t_1t_2, t_1t_3, t_2t_3\rangle} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\mathbb{F}[t_1,t_2,t_3]}{\langle {t_1}^2 - t_2t_3, {t_2}^2, {t_3}^2, t_1t_2, t_1t_3\rangle}$$

are isomorphic in any characteristic. Actually, if $\mathrm{char}\,\mathbb{F} = 2$, an isomorphism can also be obtained directly via the change of variables $t_1' = t_1 + t_2 + t_3$, $t_2' = t_2 + t_3$, $t_3' = t_1 + t_3$. This fixes an inaccuracy of [12], corrected in [13].

Lemma 7.7. *Let U be an abelian regular subgroup of* $\mathrm{AGL}_4(\mathbb{F})$. *If $d(U) = r(U) = 2$, then* $\mathrm{char}\,\mathbb{F} = 2$ *and U is conjugate to $U_1^3 \times S_{(1)}$, where U_1^3 is defined in Table* 2.

Proof. We may suppose $z = \mathrm{diag}(J_2, J_2, J_1) \in U$. From $z \in U$ we get $\delta(v_1) = E_{2,3}$. Lemma 4.1 and the condition $(\mu(v_2) - I_5)^2 = 0$ give $\delta(v_2) = E_{1,3} + \alpha_2 E_{4,3}$. Now, $(\mu(v_1 + v_2) - I_5)^2 = 0$ implies $\mathrm{char}\,\mathbb{F} = 2$. Applying (2) to v_2, v_1 we get $\delta(v_3) = \delta(v_2)\delta(v_1) = 0$. From $(\mu(v_2+v_4)-I_5)^2 = 0$ we obtain $\delta(v_4) = (\alpha_2+\alpha_4)E_{2,3}+\alpha_4 E_{4,3}$, but $(\mu(v_4) - I_5)^2 = 0$ gives $\alpha_4 = 0$. In conclusion, U is conjugate to

$$R(\alpha) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_1 & x_2 & x_3 & x_4 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & x_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & x_1 + \alpha x_4 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \alpha x_2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

An epimorphism $\Psi : \mathbb{F}[t_1,t_2,t_3] \to \mathbb{F}I_{n+1} + R(\alpha)$ is obtained by setting

$$\Psi(t_1) = X_1, \quad \Psi(t_2) = X_2, \quad \Psi(t_3) = \alpha X_1 + X_4. \tag{31}$$

We have $\mathrm{Ker}\,(\Psi) = \langle {t_1}^2, {t_2}^2, {t_3}^2, t_1t_3, t_2t_3\rangle$. Considering the presentation of U_1^3 given in Table 2, we have that U is conjugate to $U_1^3 \times S_{(1)}$. □

We now classify the abelian regular subgroups U of $\mathrm{AGL}_4(\mathbb{F})$. If $d(U) = 5$, then U is conjugate to $S_{(5)}$ by Lemma 5.2. If $d(U) = 4$, then by, Lemma 5.4, U is conjugate to $R_0 = S_{(4,1)}$ when $k(U) = 2$, and to $R_1 = S^\sharp_{(3,2)}$ when $k(U) = 1$. Suppose $d(U) = 3$. If $r(U) = 3$, then U is conjugate either to U_1^4 or to $S_{(3,2)}$ by Lemma 7.4. If $r(U) = 2$, then U is conjugate either to U_2^4 or to $S^\sharp_{(2,2,1)}$ or to $S_{(3,1,1)}$ by Lemma 7.5. Finally suppose $d(U) = 2$. If $r(U) = 1$ then U is conjugate to $S_{(2,1^3)}$ by Lemma 5.3. If $r(U) = 2$, then $\mathrm{char}\,\mathbb{F} = 2$ and U is conjugate to $U_1^3 \times S_{(1)}$ by Lemma 7.7.

When $\mathbb{F}$ has no quadratic extensions, a complete set of representatives of the conjugacy classes of abelian regular subgroups is given in Tables 3 and 4.

U	$\mathbb{F}I_5+U$	$\operatorname{char}\mathbb{F}$	Ψ	$\operatorname{Ker}(\Psi)$.
$S_{(5)}$	$\begin{pmatrix} x_0 & x_1 & x_2 & x_3 & x_4 \\ 0 & x_0 & x_1 & x_2 & x_3 \\ 0 & 0 & x_0 & x_1 & x_2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & x_0 & x_1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & x_0 \end{pmatrix}$	any	(19)	$\langle {t_1}^5 \rangle$
$S^\sharp_{(3,2)}$	$\begin{pmatrix} x_0 & x_1 & x_2 & x_3 & x_4 \\ 0 & x_0 & x_1 & 0 & x_2 \\ 0 & 0 & x_0 & 0 & x_1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & x_0 & x_3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & x_0 \end{pmatrix}$	any	(21)	$\langle {t_1}^3 - {t_2}^2, t_1t_2 \rangle$
U_1^4	$\begin{pmatrix} x_0 & x_1 & x_2 & x_3 & x_4 \\ 0 & x_0 & x_1 & 0 & x_3 \\ 0 & 0 & x_0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & x_0 & x_1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & x_0 \end{pmatrix}$	$\neq 2$	(24)	$\langle {t_1}^3, {t_1}^2t_2, {t_2}^2 \rangle$
		2	(25)	
U_2^4	$\begin{pmatrix} x_0 & x_1 & x_2 & x_3 & x_4 \\ 0 & x_0 & 0 & 0 & x_1 \\ 0 & 0 & x_0 & 0 & x_3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & x_0 & x_2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & x_0 \end{pmatrix}$	$\neq 2$	(28)	$\langle {t_1}^2 - t_2t_3, {t_2}^2, {t_3}^2, t_1t_2, t_1t_3 \rangle$
		2	(29)	

TABLE 3. Representatives for the conjugacy classes of indecomposable abelian regular subgroups U of $\mathrm{AGL}_4(\mathbb{F})$, when $\mathbb{F}$ has no quadratic extensions.

Acknowledgments. We are grateful to Marco Degiovanni for a very useful suggestion, which led to Example 2.5.

REFERENCES

[1] A. Caranti, F. Dalla Volta and M. Sala, Abelian regular subgroups of the affine group and radical rings, *Publ. Math. Debrecen* **69** (2006), no. 3, 297–308.
[2] F. Catino, I. Colazzo and P. Stefanelli, On regular subgroups of the affine group, *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.* **91** (2015), no. 1, 76–85.
[3] F. Catino, I. Colazzo and P. Stefanelli, Regular subgroups of the affine group and asymmetric product of radical braces, preprint.
[4] F. Catino and R. Rizzo, Regular subgroups of affine group and radical circle algebras, *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.* **79** (2009), 103–107.
[5] L.N. Childs, Elementary abelian Hopf Galois structures and polynomial formal groups, *J. Algebra* **283** (2005), 292–316.
[6] L.N. Childs, On abelian Hopf Galois structures and finite commutative nilpotent rings, *New York J. Math.* **21** (2015), 205–229.
[7] W. De Graaf, Classification of nilpotent associative algebras of small dimension, `arXiv:1009.5339`, 27 Sep 2010.
[8] P. Hegedűs, Regular subgroups of the affine group, *J. Algebra* **225** (2000), no. 2, 740–742.
[9] R.A. Horn and V.V. Sergeichuk, Canonical forms for complex matrix congruence and *congruence, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **416** (2006), no. 2-3, 1010–1032.
[10] J.E. Humphreys, *Linear algebraic groups*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, No. 21. Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1975.
[11] N. Jacobson, *Basic Algebra II*, W.H. Freeman and Company, New York, (1989).

U	$\mathbb{F}I_5 + U$	$\operatorname{char}\mathbb{F}$	Ψ	$\operatorname{Ker}(\Psi)$
$S_{(4,1)}$	$\begin{pmatrix} x_0 & x_1 & x_2 & x_3 & x_4 \\ 0 & x_0 & x_1 & x_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & x_0 & x_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & x_0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & x_0 \end{pmatrix}$	any	(19)	$\langle {t_1}^4, {t_2}^2, t_1t_2\rangle$
$S_{(3,2)}$	$\begin{pmatrix} x_0 & x_1 & x_2 & x_3 & x_4 \\ 0 & x_0 & x_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & x_0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & x_0 & x_3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & x_0 \end{pmatrix}$	any	(21)	$\langle {t_1}^3, {t_2}^3, t_1t_2\rangle$
$S_{(3,1,1)}$	$\begin{pmatrix} x_0 & x_1 & x_2 & x_3 & x_4 \\ 0 & x_0 & x_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & x_0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & x_0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & x_0 \end{pmatrix}$	any	(19)	$\langle {t_1}^3, {t_2}^2, {t_3}^2, t_1t_2, t_1t_3, t_2t_3\rangle$
$S^\sharp_{(2,2,1)}$	$\begin{pmatrix} x_0 & x_1 & x_2 & x_3 & x_4 \\ 0 & x_0 & 0 & x_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & x_0 & x_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & x_0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & x_0 \end{pmatrix}$	any	(21)	$\langle {t_1}^2 - {t_2}^2, {t_3}^2, t_1t_2, t_1t_3, t_2t_3\rangle$
$U_1^3 \times S_{(1)}$	$\begin{pmatrix} x_0 & x_1 & x_2 & x_3 & x_4 \\ 0 & x_0 & 0 & x_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & x_0 & x_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & x_0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & x_0 \end{pmatrix}$	2	(31)	$\langle {t_1}^2, {t_2}^2, {t_3}^2, t_1t_3, t_2t_3\rangle$
$S_{(2,1^3)}$	$\begin{pmatrix} x_0 & x_1 & x_2 & x_3 & x_4 \\ 0 & x_0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & x_0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & x_0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & x_0 \end{pmatrix}$	any	(19)	$\langle t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4\rangle^2$

TABLE 4. Representatives for the conjugacy classes of decomposable abelian regular subgroups U of $\mathrm{AGL}_4(\mathbb{F})$, when $\mathbb{F}$ has no quadratic extension.

[12] B. Poonen, Isomorphism types of commutative algebras of finite rank over an algebraically closed field, in Computational arithmetic geometry, 111–120, *Contemp. Math.*, **463**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2008.

[13] `http://www-math.mit.edu/~poonen/papers/dimension6.pdf`, 11 January 2016.

[14] M.C. Tamburini Bellani, Some remarks on regular subgroups of the affine group, *Int. J. Group Theory* **1** (2012), no. 1, 17–23.

DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA E FISICA, UNIVERSITÀ CATTOLICA DEL SACRO CUORE, VIA MUSEI 41, I-25121 BRESCIA, ITALY

E-mail address: `marcoantonio.pellegrini@unicatt.it`

DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA E FISICA, UNIVERSITÀ CATTOLICA DEL SACRO CUORE, VIA MUSEI 41, I-25121 BRESCIA, ITALY

E-mail address: `mariaclara.tamburini@unicatt.it`